April 11, 2025

The Honorable Donald J. Trump President of the United States of America The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20500 The Honorable Pamela J. Bondi Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear President Trump and Attorney General Bondi:

We write to express our strong opposition to President Trump's signing of Executive Order (EO) 14224 and revocation of EO 13166¹, which for 25 years has required federal agencies to provide assistance for people with limited English proficiency (LEP) and will have dire effects on limited English proficient communities across the United States. We strongly condemn this reckless decision to weaken language accessibility, which threatens the federal government's compliance and enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and needlessly make it more difficult for millions of Americans to access government services and pay their taxes. As a result, we ask that you provide us with information about any potential impacts of revoking EO 13166 and recent decisions to cancel language translation contracts with federal agencies.

More than 25.7 million individuals in the United States – over 8% of the population –are LEP.² In some states, that rate is even higher: for example, 20% of residents in California, 14% of Texas, and 12% in Florida have limited English proficiency.³ With more than 350 languages spoken across the country, it is critical that the federal government ensures language accessibility for the resources and services it provides. Language barriers can pose a significant obstacle for individuals attempting to integrate into our society and access public services and institutions, including health care, emergency preparedness, the legal system, schools, and employment. All Americans deserve to access the services and resources their taxes are paying for without barriers based solely on language proficiency.

In August 2000, President Clinton signed EO 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency," which required federal agencies to "examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with LEP, and develop and implement a system to provide those services so LEP persons can have meaningful access to them."⁴ This EO contained two major initiatives. The first was to better enforce and implement an existing statutory obligation, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Under this statute, recipients of federal financial assistance are prohibited from discriminating against individuals based on national origin and must provide meaningful access to individuals with LEP. Recipients of

¹ <u>https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/03/06/2025-03694/designating-english-as-the-official-language-of-the-united-states</u>

² Language Access for Individuals with Limited English Proficiency | U.S. Commission on Civil Rights

³ 2015 Language Map App | LEP

⁴ Federal Register :: Improving Access to Services for Persons With Limited English Proficiency

federal funding include state and local governments, health care providers, school districts, public transportation agencies, and colleges and universities. EO 13166 required federal agencies to develop guidance that clarifies language accessibility obligations for recipients of federal assistance. For instance, the Department of Justice developed guidance specifically for state and local law enforcement agencies and departments of corrections. Second, the EO set forth a new obligation: all federal agencies were required to meet the same requirements as their funding recipients. In effect, this EO mandated language accessibility requirements across the federal government and for any institution or organization that receives federal funds.⁵

Presidents of both parties, including President Trump during his first term, have kept EO 13166 in place for the past 25 years. The judiciary has also upheld the importance of language accessibility for Americans with LEP. In 1974, the Supreme Court ruled in *Lau v. Nichols* that discrimination against people with limited English proficiency is a type of national origin discrimination.⁶

When the President repealed EO 13166, he instructed the Department of Justice to rescind and replace any policy guidance issued under that original order.⁷ This includes federal guidance on how to comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and directions to agencies to ensure they are meeting the needs of Americans with LEP. By withdrawing such guidance, your administration is no longer detailing the language accessibility regulations or policies that agencies must follow, risking systemic noncompliance with civil rights laws and jeopardizing the quality of language services or translated materials these agencies provide.

Additionally, we have received reports that the Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, has cancelled at least ten contracts that provided federal agencies with language or translation services.⁸ This is including a contract that provided translation services to Americans or businesses calling the Department of Homeland Security about their employment status or benefits, leading to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services directing employees to discontinue any call when they are not fluent in the caller's language.⁹

Your administration has specified that agencies beyond the Department of Justice are "not required to amend, remove, or otherwise stop production of documents, products, or other services prepared or offered in languages other than English."¹⁰ Yet we have serious concerns that your decision to rescind EO 13166, and remove language accessibility guidance, will reduce the availability of language services and translated materials across the federal government. Consequently, we seek information to confirm that your administration is ensuring that language

⁵ Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency

⁶ Language Access and Civil Rights: Analyzing the Impact of the Executive Order Claiming to Make English the Official National Language - NILC

⁷ <u>Designating English as the Official Language of The United States – The White House</u>

⁸ DOGE Is Killing Translation Contracts, With a Nudge From Trump - NOTUS (Allbritton Journalism Institute)

⁹ Trump administration cancels translation services for those seeking to access or correct their immigration status - Government Executive

¹⁰ Designating English as the Official Language of The United States – The White House

accessibility for Americans with LEP remains a priority and language translation services are not disrupted.

To assist with this effort, we request that you promptly provide answers to the following inquiries:

- 1. What studies did your administration conduct into the impacts that revoking EO 13166 will have on limited English proficient communities?
- 2. Less than three weeks after revoking EO 13166, DOGE reportedly canceled at least ten contracts that provided federal agencies with language or translation services, including contracts with the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of Health and Human Services.
 - a. Has your administration reviewed how terminating these language translation contracts will impact LEP communities?
 - b. Will your administration and DOGE continue to cut additional language translation contracts?
 - c. What plans, if any, does the Trump Administration have to restore the services that these recently terminated contracts provided?
- 3. Have any agencies or recipients of federal funding reported disruptions to the provision of language accessibility services?
 - a. Have any individuals with limited English proficiency reported disruptions to their provision of language accessibility services?
- 4. How will your administration facilitate adequate language accessibility services for LEP communities?
 - a. What guidance is your administration providing to ensure agencies and federal funding recipients are complying with federal laws including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act?
- 5. The Department of Justice recently withdrew federal guidance materials and documents for agencies and federal funding recipients that outlined how to best meet language accessibility guidelines. What studies has your administration conducted to understand how this decision will impact recipients' ability to comply with federal language accessibility laws?

There is no data that supports the assertion made by your administration that linguistical diversity threatens the integrity of the nation. By rescinding EO 13166 and withdrawing guidance that helps to provide meaningful language accessibility, your administration risks disconnecting millions of limited English proficient people across the United States from government services. We condemn your recent decision to issue EO 14224 and urge you to reinstate EO 13166 immediately.

Sincerely,

ridy Chu Judy Chu

Member of Congress

ll Hadlen

Ferrold Nadler Member of Congress

Eleano H. Noton

Eleanor Holmes Norton Member of Congress

Ormand. Danies

Danny K. Davis Member of Congress

Here GALL

Delia C. Ramirez Member of Congress

Shi Hanere

Shri Thanedar Member of Congress

Grace Meng

Member of Congress

Laskeda flait

Rashida Tlaib Member of Congress

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez Member of Congress

lim

Kevin Mullin Member of Congress

Sarah McBride Member of Congress

-N Tokule

J**H** Tokuda Member of Congress

Betty McCollum Member of Congress

Dan Goldman Member of Congress

re

Greg Casar Member of Congress

Nanette Diaz Barragán Nanette Diaz Barragán

Nanette Diaz Barragán Member of Congress

Ritchie Im

Ritchie Torres Member of Congress

Sum

Julia Brownley Member of Congress

Fauld tonlo

Paul D. Tonko Member of Congress

Derek T. Tran Member of Congress

Nydia M. Velázquez Member of Congress

Marilyn Strickland Member of Congress

inic Fletoher

Lizzie Fletcher Member of Congress

Juan Vargas Member of Congress

Dave Min

Member of Congress

Ro Khanna Member of Congress

taramenti

John Garamendi Member of Congress

Gilbert Ray Cisneros, Jr Member of Congress

Sugence Benamici

Suzanne Bonamici Member of Congress

Dove Kamlager

Member of Congress

Ted W. Lien

Ted W. Lieu Member of Congress

Member of Congress

400

Al Green Member of Congress Scion of the Enslaved Africans – Sacrificed to Make America Great

Progenitor of August and August 20th as Slavery Remembrance Month and Day

Bernie Water Colema

Bonnie Watson Coleman Member of Congress

Sahas Subramanyam

Member of Congress

ulie Johnson

Julie Johnson Member of Congress

ase

Ed Case Member of Congress

1. quis Svlvia R. Garcia

Member of Congress

Mark Jaban

MARK TAKANO Member of Congress

Robert C. "Bobby" Scott Member of Congress

l

Raja Krishnamoorthi Member of Congress

Emanuel Cleaver, II Member of Congress

Queo (Oma

Norma J. Torres Member of Congress

tim m

Adriano Espaillat Member of Congress

Madelune ,

Madeleine Dean Member of Congress

Jim Costa Member of Congress

Jun ~ S. Puset

Ayanna Pressley Member of Congress

J. Luis Correa Member of Congress

10.Cll

Salud Carbajal Member of Congress

Robert Garcia Member of Congress

esus & Gama

Jésús G. "Chuy" García Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress

Ami Bera, M.D. Member of Congress

+ Menenter

Robert J. Menendez Member of Congress

Don's Matsui

Doris Matsui Member of Congress

Lateefah **S**imon Member of Congress